Blue Targeting – manual
How to do Blue Targeting for planning of best management practice (BMP) for forestry along small streams
How to do Blue Targeting for best management practice (BMP) for forestry along small streams

The Blue targeting tool was developed by WWF Sweden in 2011 within the project “Living Forest Waters” (www.wwf.se/levandeskogsvatten) where Lennart Henrikson was the project leader. A Swedish manual was published in 2011.1

This English manual was produced within the EU Interreg project WAtter Management in Baltic Forests, WAMBAF. The project is running between 2016-2019. Nine partners in five countries are involved. The aim of the project is to develop tools and guidelines (Best Management Practices) for forestry activities resulting in a reduced inflow of nutrients and hazardous substances to the regional waters of the Baltic Sea. WAMBAF focuses on three main topics, each one with a potential of high impact on the water: beaver population management, drainage system management and the management of riparian forests. This manual is included in the topic regarding management of riparian forests.

1. Introduction

Blue Targeting (BT) is a tool for best management practice (BMP) for forestry along small streams. The tool was originally developed by WWF Sweden (Lennart Henrikson, Erik Degerman, Stefan Bleckert) in corporation with the forestry sector in the years 2007-2011. It was constructed for small streams (width approx. <10 m) in boreal and Scandinavian conditions. However, by changing the indata, the tool can be adapted to streams in other biomes.

The main objective of the tool is to do:
- the right measure
- at the right place
- to the right extent.

BT tool is scientifically based and simplified to be used by non-professionals in practice. When simplifying science, some of the accuracy is lost. To tackle this, the tool has been tested in different ways, by experts and non-professionals. The tests show consensus regarding the final assessment or Blue targets when performed by non-experts. Since 2017 BT is in operational use by the Swedish Forest Owners Association when developing forest management plans. Swedish companies with large forest areas in ownership have implemented BT at a landscape level in pilot studies.

2. Working scheme

There are several steps in Blue targeting. The first step is to gather present data, for example electrofishing data and existing data concerning the chemical status of the water. There might be a lack of data for many streams. If that is the case, the process can start at the second step. The second step is the inventory of stream sections using a simple check list (appendix). Data are collected for Conservation value (C), Impact (I), Sensitivity (S) and Added values (A). The next step is an assessment of CISA. This is the base for choosing the Blue Target. The result can be used in forest management plans at different geographical scales.

---

The CISA inventory is made at stream sections of similar conditions. A new section is started when the stream or the riparian zone significantly changes. Some examples of when new sections are done can be when the water changes from rapid to swiftly flowing or the trees in the riparian zone are cutted. This means that the sections will have different lengths. It is proposed to keep the sections no shorter than 100 metres. The Blue Targeting protocol is filled in after that the stretch has been walked through.

3. The check list

BACKGROUND INFORMATION
Date – day of data collection
Name of person making the survey – the person responsible for data collection.
Name of stream – the official name (can be found at maps or official data bases). Many streams, especially small ones, have no official name.
Stretch survey – the length of the stream section surveyed. This should be changed when the water or the surroundings significantly changes.
Coordinates, upper and lower – according to international (e.g. latitude/longitude) or national standards.
Average with – estimated mean width of the water course.
Stream order – according to the Strahler system (or national standard).
Dominating bottom substrate – eg. gravel/small stones or boulders.
The last three ones give a rough picture of the stream section for people that have not visited the stream section.

C – CONSERVATION VALUE
The data on conservation value illustrates the potential for physical conditions to harbour a natural composition of flora and fauna. In all ecosystems, higher habitat heterogeneity gives conditions for more species – a great physical variation means a high conservation value. Fast flowing water has higher conservation value than slow flowing water as the latter ones is more common in the landscape and hence less threatened and that the biology in slow flowing water reminds of that in lakes.

The conservation value is assessed for the stream section and the riparian zone. The reason is that the riparian zone has an extremely high importance for the stream itself. Likewise, the riparian forest is depending on the stream. The stream and the riparian zone should be considered as being one ecological unit.

The conservation value is assessed by the structure of the stream, special biotopes or species, and the structure of the riparian zone.

N1. CONSERVATION VALUES – Stream
Strong habitat variation – the stream morphology and the bottom substrate give good conditions for high species number.
Dead wood – has several ecological functions, like hiding places for fish and substrate for invertebrates. It also creates an “internal physical dynamic” as the bottom substrate close to dead wood is constantly changing, which may create “new” microhabitats. There are several scientific studies showing that coarse woody debris in the water contributes to the production of fish. Swedish studies show that more than seven pieces of coarse woody debris per 100 m stream are needed for a viable Brown trout population. Pieces of dead wood above the water surface are included in this survey if they are covered or partly covered with water at high flow.
Rapids or swiftly-flowing water (broken water surface) – host characteristic species for running waters.
Stretch with lots of boulders – means a great physical variation with e.g. hiding places for several species.
There are several scientific studies showing the importance of dead wood for biodiversity in streams in different biogeographical regions. The occurrence of coarse woody debris increases the habitat heterogeneity forming hiding places for young fish and substrate for invertebrates. It also traps organic matter which may be used by invertebrates. Coarse woody debris changes the water currents leading to sorting of sand, gravel and stones and creation of “new” different substrate favouring different species. Illustration: Hans Sjögren.
N2. CONSERVATION – Special biotopes and species

**Natural water falls or braided channel.** Water falls are unique habitats and may favour several species. For example, the “rapid fog” create a permanent humid environment around the stream favouring organisms like mosses. **Braided channel** means that the stream splits into three (at least) streams unifying downstream. These increases the physical variation.

**Lake inlet or outlet or tributary inlet** – are habitats that generally hosts high densities of different species. One reason is that lakes produce great amount of food favouring filtrating invertebrates.

**Valuable species** – like red listed species or interesting species related to the regional biogeography.

N3. CONSERVATION – Riparian zone

The riparian zone along a small stream is very important for providing the aquatic ecosystem with the ecological functions it needs: shading and thus keeping the temperature at low degrees, filtering soil water from particles and nutrients, supporting the stream with organic matter (like leaves), i.e. food supply, and supporting the stream with dead coarse woody debris.

![The Freshwater Pearl Mussel Margaritifera margaritifera is a red listed species, often found in forest streams.](image)

**The riparian zone has important ecological functions**
- It is one part of the water ecosystem!

- **Regulation**
  - light climate
  - temperature

- **Filtration**
  - nutrients (phosphorus)
  - particles (sand)

- **Input of food**
  - leaves
  - invertebrates

- **Input of dead wood**

---

Stream Männiku, Estonia
**Riparian zone for >75%** - primarily shading of the stream along at least 75% of the length of the section.

**Natural composition of tree species** – related to the actual site and regional biogeography.

**Old riparian zone** – primarily producing dead wood but also high terrestrial conservation value.

**Flooded zone or permanent area of diffuse groundwater outflow or spring** – areas with high species richness and areas of great importance for the quality of inflowing water.

---

**I – IMPACT**

Many human activities affect water courses physically, chemically, or biologically. The impact is assessed for the stream itself, the riparian zone and the water quality. In the check list the “no”-word is used to create a better function of the tool. The impact section shows where measures in field are needed to improve the naturalness and decrease the human impact.

---

**I1. IMPACT – Stream**

*Not cleaned or not straightened.* This includes removal of boulders, stones, and gravel but also straightening and clearing of the stream morphology, which impairs the ecological conditions.

*No serious sedimentation.* Sedimentation/siltation is the most severe problem in many forest streams. Fine particles (< 1 mm) from the surroundings fill the interstitials in the sediment. This have greatly negative impact of the survival of invertebrates and fish eggs buried in the gravel. It can be very hard to visually see this serious siltation. Accumulated fine particles on the bottom surface may indicate the problem.

*No water regulation or extraction of water.* Natural water dynamic is essential in streams. In many streams there are dams where the water flow is regulated. In some streams water extraction may cause too low flow during parts of the year. This is unfavourable for many water organisms, as it may stress them. Water regulations upstream the section surveyed may be noted under “General description and comments”.

*No artificial migration barriers.* Migration barriers for fish and invertebrates do exclude species from suitable habitats upstream. Dams and road culverts are common obstacles. Definitive barriers made by beaver may be noted if it is completely impossible for fish to pass. Brown trout and salmonid species are generally good at passing migrations barriers. Barriers upstream or downstream the section surveyed may be noted under “General description and comments”.

---

**I2. IMPACT – Riparian zone**

*Functional riparian zone* – is of extremely high importance for the stream biology. An ecological functional zone generally has a mix of tree species, tree height and tree age. The effects on the water is shading, filtering, litter input, and dead wood input.

*No inflow from ditches.* Ditches most often transport organic and/or inorganic particles leading to risk of siltation.

*No soil damages.* Soil damages like tracks from heavy vehicles and site preparation (scarification) may lead to soil particles entering the stream and cause siltation. It can also cause leakage of methylated mercury.

*No roads.* Scientific studies have shown that roads close to streams and road crossings affects the streams negatively. By roads, it is here referred mainly to gravel roads and paved roads. One reason for the negative impact on streams is that road ditches might transport nutrient and sediment into the stream. Another reason might be the lack of trees, as seen in the picture below, meaning no ecological functional zone.
I3. IMPACT – Water quality

Water quality is of great importance for stream biota. Since chemistry is hard to observe, it is very difficult to assess the quality of water by visually observation only. Therefore, the CISA-protocol is mainly focusing on structures and elements. However, there are some visible indicators on water quality.

No turbid water. Some waters are naturally turbid due to fine grained soils in the catchment. In some cases, there is an abnormal turbidity, which may cause siltation. Such turbidity is normally caused by human activities, like outflow from ditches, or driving or digging in or close to the stream. The picture of a dam at page 7 and a culvert page 10 shows very brown water. This is natural (=no impact) as the catchments have a lot of peat areas and hence the water become humic (brown)

No anthropogenic litter. Litter may affect the water as well as the riparian zone.

No eutrophication. Large amounts of vegetation, e.g. reed or green algae, can be an indication of eutrophication.

No point sources. Discharge of polluted water from human activities may affect the water quality.

S – SENSITIVITY

Sensitivity means the risk of sedimentation/siltation outflow to running waters, which is the most severe problem in forest streams. Forest operations may damage the upper soil layer leading to erosion and hence flow of inorganic matter to the stream. Slopes and wet areas has the highest risk for this. Sensitivity is the most important variable in this method.

Soil types tending to erode. Several types of soil easily erode, for example sand, silt, and peat.

Slope towards the stream. Higher slopes lead to increased risk for soil erosion.

Siltation of bottoms – a severe problem in streams!
Wet-moist riparian zone. Damages to wet-moist areas may lead to soil erosion.

Spring or outflow of water in the riparian zone. These are the sites where the ground water turns into surface water. These areas are especially vulnerable and damages, by e.g. heavy vehicles, may cause impaired water quality.

A – ADDED VALUE
Besides conservation, impact, and sensitivity there may be other interesting aspects, which can influence the degree of consideration in forestry.

Cultural values and/or ancient remains – must not be damaged by forestry operations. N.B. There may arise a conflict between keeping a dam of cultural interest and the elimination of the dam to regain connectivity.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND COMMENTS
Describe the section survey in a way so that other people get an inner picture of the stream section. Example of comments are fish barriers downstream.

FINAL ASSESSMENT
Fill the boxes with the scores and the total sum. Write the assessment in words using the guiding principle just below the boxes in the check list. Note the Blue Target class.

ACTIONS ACCORDING TO TARGET CLASS
Write a short description of the proposed actions to improve C, I, S, and A.
4. **Blue targeting**

Blue targeting helps forest owners, forest companies and forest operators to optimise environmental considerations to a stream section and to identify actions needed to maintain or improve the stream biodiversity. There are four Blue targets:

- **WG** – Water requiring General consideration
- **WE** – Water requiring Enhanced consideration
- **WS** – Water requiring Special action, in the stream or riparian zone
- **WU** – Water that are to be left Untouched

---

**Blue target WG**

**Action:** Leave a narrow buffer zone

*Un-named stream, Västergötland*

*Low conservation value, low sensitivity.*

---

**Blue target VG**

**Action:** Leave a narrow buffer zone

*Bälän, Västerbotten*

*Low conservation value, low sensitivity.*
High conservation value (habitat for the red-listed Freshwater Pearl Mussel (Margaritifera margaritifera), high sensitivity (high slope at the right side of the stream).

High conservation value (habitat and reproduction area for Brown trout (Salmo trutta) high sensitivity (high slope at both sides of the stream).
Most of the sediment input from a connecting man-made ditch to the main stream is captured in a sediment trap. The main stream section has the Blue target WS - Water requiring Special action.

Fish and benthos migration barrier.
Blue target WS - Water requiring Special action.
Planted spruce (Picea abies) was removed to get an ecological functional riparian zone.

Stream section with very high conservation value in water as well as in the riparian zone.
For each target there are a set of consideration regarding width of riparian (buffer) zone, driving vehicles close to the stream, crossing of the stream and amount of coarse dead woody debris. Se table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Riparian zone (the meters is referring to Swedish conditions)</td>
<td>Basic/According to certifying system or legislation.</td>
<td>Enhanced</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>High regarding actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riparian zone</td>
<td>5-15 m depending on slope</td>
<td>15-30 m</td>
<td>&gt;30 m</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving</td>
<td>No within 10 m from water edge</td>
<td>No within 10 m from water edge</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossing</td>
<td>At non-sensitive site, i.e. hard bottom</td>
<td>Minimize. Just at bridges</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead wood</td>
<td>Leave/create</td>
<td>Leave/create &gt;7 pieces/100 m</td>
<td>Leave untouched</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Specify action needed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The result from the CISA survey is the base for setting Blue Targets. While there is a general trend between high scores in the CISA protocol and a higher level of protection, there are no absolute correlation between the outcome of CISA and the Blue Target. Blue target should be decided from case to case. All combinations between CISA and Blue targets are possible. However, the most important variables are Conservation and Impact. The table below may be helpful. Appropriate actions for WS can be decided out of what has been found and filled in under “Impact” and “Conservation”. Whatever has been mentioned as a problem, can have its solution. Examples of actions: elimination of migration barriers or closing of ditches entering the stream. The Blue Target WS must be combined with some of the other three Blue Targets, for example to specify the width of the riparian zone etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation value</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>WG</td>
<td>WE-WE</td>
<td>WE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>WG</td>
<td>WE</td>
<td>WE-WU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>WE</td>
<td>WE</td>
<td>WU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Blue targets can be presented at e.g. maps.

**Appendix:**
*Check list for survey of CISA (2 pages)*
CISA – check list
Assessment of Conservation values, Impact, Sensitivity and Added value of streams
Developed by WWF Sweden 2011, revised by Lennart Henrikson and Linnéa Jägrud, April 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>Name of person making the survey:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of stream</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catchment area</td>
<td>Number: Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stretch surveyed (m)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinates lower</td>
<td>X Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinates upper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average width (estimated: &lt;1 m, &lt;3 m, &lt;6 m, &gt;6 m)</td>
<td>Dominating bottom substrate:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream order:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mark with X if present!

C1. CONSERVATION VALUES – Stream

| Strong habitat variation | Stream mostly meandering or large variation in depth and width and occurrence of sand/gravel or stones/boulders. |
| Dead wood in water | >7 pieces per 100 m. Length of pieces >1 m and 10 cm ◊ |
| Stretch of rapids or swiftly-flowing water (broken water surface) | Distance >10 times the average width. |
| Stretch with lots of boulders | Boulders (> the size of a head) and/or bed with gravel and pebbles, distance >10 times the average width of the water course. |
| Credits; 0 - 4 | One X = 1 credit etc. |

C2. CONSERVATION VALUES – Special biotopes and species

| Natural waterfall or braided channel | Water falling in 90°, height of fall >1 m, often forming a natural migration barrier. Braided channel: The stream divided into ≥3 channels, >10 m length, with water all year round. |
| Clear and uncoloured water | Not obviously turbid or brown-coloured water. |
| Inlet or outlet of lake or tributary inlet | Outlet/inlet not regulated, not deepened, not changed by digging. Tributary inlet of natural stream, no ditch. |
| Valuable species | Red-listed species (should normally be know in advance of survey) or occurrence of big mussels and/or salmonids. |
| Credits; 0 - 4 | One X = 1 credit etc. |

C3. CONSERVATION VALUES – Riparian zone

| Riparian zone for >75% | Riparian zone regarding shading of the stream. |
| Natural composition of tree species | Related to the actual site, without human disturbance/forestry. |
| Old riparian zone | Trees at the age of normal final felling, producing dead wood etc. |
| Flooded zone or permanent area of diffuse groundwater outflow or spring. | Periodically flooded riparian zone; to be observed on the vegetation, stones, trees and ground. One large, or several obvious objects along the stretch. |
| Credits; 0 - 4 | One X = 1 credit etc. |

TOTAL CONSERVATION VALUES

I1. IMPACT – Stream

| Not cleaned or straightened | Not cleaned: Stream with natural occurrence of boulders, stones and gravel. Not straightened: Natural meandering of stream – not straightened, not channelized. |
| No serious sedimentation | Normal amount of particles of fine material on bottoms of gravel and sand. |
| No water regulation or extraction of water | No adjustment: No occurrence of one or several dams, often with an arrangement for adjustment of the water level. No removal of water: no hoses, pumps etc. in or along the stream. |
| No artificial migration barriers | No artificial migration barriers. |
| Credits; 0 - 4 | X = 1 credit etc. |
### I2. IMPACT – Riparian zone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functional riparian zone</td>
<td>Ecological functional riparian zone (shading, filtering, litter input, dead wood input). No serious damages on the riparian zone at (\leq 75%) of the distance. (In Latvia only: Riparian zone not heavily dominated by grey alder.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No inflow from ditches</td>
<td>No ditches entering directly into the stream; without infiltrating through a sediment trap.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No soil damages</td>
<td>No old or new soil damages (by heavy vehicles or scarification) in or along the stream which might have had a negative effect on the stream (e.g. siltation).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No roads</td>
<td>No road crosses the stream, and no road within 10 m along the stream.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credits; 0 - 4</td>
<td>One X = 1 credit etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### I3. IMPACT – Water quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No turbid water</td>
<td>Normal level of turbidity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No anthropogenic litter</td>
<td>No great amount of anthropogenic litter affecting water or riparian zone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No eutrophication</td>
<td>No large amounts of excess vegetation, e.g. green algae and/or reed in the stream.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No point sources</td>
<td>No drainage from industries, farmland or urban areas, no wastewater input straight into the stream.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credits; 0 - 4</td>
<td>One X = 1 credit etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL IMPACT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>